



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/575,145	05/23/2000	Paul Lapstun	NPA035US	9217

24011 7590 11/03/2005

SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD
393 DARLING STREET
BALMAIN, 2041
AUSTRALIA

EXAMINER

PHAM, THIERRY L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2624

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/575,145

Applicant(s)

LAPSTUN ET AL.

Examiner

Thierry L. Pham

Art Unit

2624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 August 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/19/05.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

- This action is responsive to the following communication: an Amendment filed on 8/19/05.
- IDS filed on 8/19/05 has been considered and entered.
- Claims 1, and 3-18 are pending; claims 2, 19-44 have been canceled.

Claim Objections

- Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: “(a), (b), and (c)” should read as “(c), (d), and (e)”. Appropriate correction is required.
- Claim 8 objected to because of the following informalities: Dependent claim 8 cannot depend upon canceled claim 2. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-4, 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dymetman et al (US 6330976), and in view of Mori (US 6137590).

Regarding claim 1, Dymetman discloses a method of printing a digital photograph (printing photographic images, fig. 4, col. 12, lines 58-67) including the following steps:

- transmitting (i.e. network as shown in fig. 9) instruction for printing the photograph to a printer (col. 12, lines 58-67);
- printing (printing photographic images onto a print media surface, col. 12, lines 58-67) the photograph onto a surface (i.e. marking medium, col. 7, lines 42-51) using the printer;
- also printing on the surface, coded data (i.e. location code uniquely defining the position of cell, col. 12, lines 40-45, and please notes, different codes such as page identification code can

Art Unit: 2624

also be printed, col. 9, lines 15-21) indicative of an identity of the surface and at least one reference point on the surface, using the printer, such that an optical sensing device (optical sensing pointer 502, fig. 1), when placed in an operation position relative to the surface (optical sensing pointer 502 for sensing coded data printed on the document, i.e. marking medium), can generate indicating data using at least some of the coded data (marking medium 2 of fig. 1 contains coded data and wherein an optical sensing pointer 502 is implemented for detecting location of the document and zones within the document's surface, figs. 3-7, col. 3, lines 39-67, col. 4, lines 24-35, col. 7, lines 42-67 and col. 8, lines 45-67), the indicating data comprising data regarding the identity of the surface and data regarding a position of the sensing device relative to the surface (optical sensor pointer 502 for detecting/sensing the marking medium's location/position/zones of the document's surface, col. 8, lines 40-67 to col. 9, lines 1-22, and col. 11, lines 28-45), whereby a computer system (optical sensor pointer 502 senses the coded data and transmits to a computer systems via a network 610, fig. 9, col. 8, lines 40-67 to col. 9, lines 1-22) can determine a photograph identity and/or an action relating to the photograph using at least some of the indicating data (i.e. retrieving a digital page of the printed document using an optical sensor pointer, and an optical sensor pointer for sensing the coded data printed on the document, figs. 1-2, cols. 7-9, and col. 11, lines 28-45 and figs. 13-15, also for Microsoft Word document and/or PowerPoint documents, col. 18, lines 45-55).

Dymetman teaches a method of printing document data and coded onto a print media surface, but fails to teach a computer system allocating and recording a photograph identification code; associating said photograph with said photograph identification code in the computer system; and printing the photograph and coded data at the same time.

Mori, in the same field of endeavor for printing, teach a computer system (fig. 1) allocating and recording a photograph identification code (identification code assignment section 7 of fig. 1 for issuing and allocating identification code 10a, fig. 2); associating said photograph with said photograph identification code (associating identification with a document/photograph, ref. 10a, fig. 2, abstract and col. 1, lines 55-67) in the computer system; and printing the photograph and coded data at the same time (printing document 10 and identification code 10a at the same time, fig. 2, col. 4, lines 35-40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the printing method of Dymetman to include a method of printing a coded data and photograph at the same time as per teaching of Mori because of a following reason: (1) to re-print the same image more efficient by scanning/reading the identification code without having to re-issue the print command; (2) reduce hardware costs and time by printing both coded data and document data same time.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Dymetman and Mori to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Regarding claim 2, Dymetman further discloses a method according to claim 1 wherein the identify of the photograph is determined by an identification code (i.e. page ID, col. 32, lines 49-67) issued by a server which issues photograph identification codes.

Regarding claim 3, Dymetman further discloses a method according to claim 1 wherein a copy of the photograph may be requested by directing an optical sensing device (pointer 502, fig. 1) towards a zone (zone within document on the surface, col. 11, lines 28-42) on the surface, which causes the optical sensing device to sense coded data on the surface and transmit a message to a printer which in turns causes the printer to print a copy of the photograph.

Regarding claim 4, Dymetman further discloses a method according to claim 1 wherein a digital copy of the photograph (i.e. digital photograph is retrieved from a server via using coded data printed on surface of the document, col. 10, lines 15-47 and col. 12, lines 58-67 and col. 18, lines 45-56) is archived separately from the printed photograph and the original digital photograph.

Regarding claim 9, Dymetman further discloses a method according to claim 1 wherein data indicative of an action is forwarded from an optical sensing device to a printer when the optical sensing device is used to designate a particular zone of the surface (using zones, col. 16, lines 52-61).

Regarding claim 10, Dymetman further discloses a method according to claim 1 wherein the surface has printed on it one or more options which a user may select, each associated with a designated zone on the surface, and the user selects an option by moving an optical sensing device on the surface within the associated zone (using zones, col. 16, lines 52-61), the optical sensing device transmitting data indicative of the user's selection to a printer.

Regarding claim 11, Dymetman further discloses a method according to claim 1 wherein a user annotates the photograph with text by writing with an optical sensing device on the surface, data indicative of the movement of the optical sensing device being transmitted to a printer and converted to computer text (pointer device 502 for writing text on coded substrate, col. 17, lines 5-36).

Regarding claim 12, Dymetman further discloses a method according to claim 1 wherein a user signs the photograph by writing the user's signature on the surface with an optical sensing device (pointer device 504, col. 17, lines 10-35), data indicative of the movements of the optical sensing device being transmitted to printer, the signature thereafter being verified by comparison with a known signature of the user (signature authentication and handwriting recognition, col. 17, lines 5-35 and col. 22, lines 61-65).

Regarding claim 13, Dymetman further discloses a method according to claim 1 wherein a user requests one or more other documents or photographs to be printed (i.e. Fax icon, col. 22, lines 24-48) by directing an optic sensing device to a zone on the surface (zones, col. 16, lines 51-62).

Regarding claim 14, Dymetman further discloses a method according to claim 1 wherein a user requests one or more other documents or photographs to be printed by directing an optional sensing device to a zone on the surface (pointer 502 for sensing and retrieving documents to be printed via using coded data, col. 8, lines 45-67 and col. 9, lines 10-45).

Regarding claims 15-17, Dymetman teaches where the coded data is printed using an infrared ink and/or infrared-absorptive ink (cols. 11, lines 45-67 to col. 12, lines 1-25).

Regarding claim 18, the printer automatically binds the pages together are widely known in the art (i.e. copy machine with stapler options).

Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori and Dymetman as described in claim 1 above, and in view of McIntyre et al (U.S. 6102505).

Regarding claim 5, Mori and Dymetman teaches limitations (b) through (e) (see claim 1 above for more details), but does not teach a photograph is taking using a digital camera.

McIntyre, in the same field of endeavor for coded data, teaches a digital camera for taking digital photograph images (digital camera, fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Mori and Dymetman as per teachings of McIntyre because of a following reason: (●) a digital camera which allows operator to take digital images and encrypted with barcodes.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Dymetman and Mori with McIntyre to obtain the invention as specified in claim 5.

Regarding claims 6-7, the combinations of Mori, Dymetman and McIntyre further teaches wherein the digital camera and printer form an integrated unit (fig. 1, col. 2, lines 55-67, McIntyre), the step of transmitting the digital photograph is done by means of a transmitter located in or proximate the integrated unit, the step of assigning an identification code is conducted on a computer (barcode is assign via file server and/or printer server, col. 6, lines 26-45) remote from the integrated unit, and the identification code is transmitted from the remote computer to the integrated unit before the digital photograph is printed .

Regarding claim 8, the photograph identification code is also sent to a digital camera which took the photograph for future reference (image took by camera is also encrypted with barcodes, cols. 4, lines 50-67, McIntyre).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/19/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- Regarding claim 1, the applicants argued the cited prior art of record (US 6330976 to Dymetman et al) fails to teach and/or suggest “a computer system allocating and recording a photograph identification code; associating said photograph with said photograph identification code in the computer system”.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., “a computer system allocating and recording a photograph identification code; associating said photograph with said photograph identification code in the computer system”) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Notes: limitations/features from canceled claim 2 are not equivalent as to newly added features/limitations as cited in claim 1.

- Regarding claim 1, the applicants repeatedly argued the computer system as presented in claim 1 “automatically” associates a photo ID with each digital photograph as compared to manual association as taught by Dymetman.

In response, the examiner notes that the applicants are argued subject matter that are not presented in claim 1, e.g. “automatically associates a photo ID with each digital photograph.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO**

Art Unit: 2624

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thierry L. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-7439. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on (571)272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thierry L. Pham



GABRIEL GARCIA
PRIMARY EXAMINER